Application No. 10/743,544

Amendment dated August 1, 2005

Reply to Ex parte Quayle Action of June 1, 2005

Remarks/Arguments:

This amendment adds no new claims, and is provided to amend the application

title and claim 1 as suggested by the Examiner. No new matter has been added.

Upon entry of this amendment, claims 1-21 will be pending.

Objections to the Specification

The Examiner has objected to the title of the specification. Accordingly, the

Applicant has amended the title as suggested by the Examiner. Therefore, the

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to the specification.

Objections of the Claims

The Examiner has objected to claim 1 as being unclear. Accordingly, the

Applicant has amended claim 1 as suggested by the Examiner to clarify lines 2-3.

Therefore, the Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to claim 1.

The amendment is intended to clarify the claim, and does not narrow the scope of the

claim or any range of equivalents to which the claim is entitled.

Allowable Subject Matter and Conclusion

The Examiner is thanked for allowing the subject matter of claims 1-21.

Further, in view of the above, it is believed that the application is in condition for

allowance and notice to this effect is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner

have any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the

telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 1 2004

Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P.

1300 19th Street, N.W., Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20036

T: (202) 659-9076

Ronald S. Grubb

Reg. No. 48,672

Attorney for Applicant

7